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ABSTRACT

Background: Chewing stick has long been used in many parts of Africa and the Middle East as a means of oral hygiene. Dry stems or Roots of different plants have been used in the process. Stems of Vernonia amygdalina and Psidium guajava are among the commonly used plants in Nigeria in cleansing teeth. Few attempts have been made to screen the antimicrobial activity of the stems of the trees on microorganisms isolated from teeth.

Aim of the Study: The aim was to determine the Phytoconstituents and the antimicrobial activity of Vernonia amygdalina and Psidium guajava on Bacteria isolated from human teeth.

*Corresponding author: Email: ksirajo2020@gmail.com, ksirajo2020@mail.com;
Materials and Methods: Phytoconstituents of the aqueous and ethanolic extract of the stems of Bitter leaf and Guava tree were determined using standard methods. The antimicrobial activity of the extract against some microorganisms isolated from teeth was determined using agar well diffusion method. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) were determined using standard methods.

Results: Phytochemical screening of stems of the two plants revealed the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, steroids and carbohydrates. Highest zone of inhibition of 9 mm and 10 mm was recorded on the ethanolic extracts of bitter leaf and Guava tree stems on Staphylococcus aureus respectively. MIC and MBC of 50 mg/ml and 100 mg/ml for the ethanolic extracts of Bitter leaf stem on Klebsiella pneumonia, S. aureus and Proteus mirabilis were recorded. For the Guava, MIC and MBC of 50 mg/ml and 100 mg/ml were recorded for the ethanolic extracts were recorded on S. aureus and Proteus mirabilis.

Conclusion: Aqueous and ethanolic extracts of both plants show potential antibacterial activity against the microorganisms isolated from human teeth.

Keywords: Chewing stick; phytoconstituents; ethanolic extract; antimicrobial activity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Vernonia amygdalina is commonly called bitter leaf because of its bitter taste. It is a member of the Asteraceae family and a small evergreen shrub that grows all over Africa. It is reported to be a medicinal plant for diabetes and fever [1]. Bitter herbs are reportedly good for the body as they help tone the vital organs of the body like the kidney and liver. Ethnomedically, the leaves are consumed either as a vegetable (macerated leaves in soup) or aqueous extracts as tonics for the treatment of various illnesses [2]. In the wild, chimpanzees have been observed to ingest the leaves when suffering from parasitic infections [3]. The roots of V. amygdalina have been used for gingivitis and toothache due to its proven antimicrobial activity [4]. In North America, of the 17 species of Vernonia all have the same effective properties as a blood purifier, uterus toner and helps also to prevent atherosclerosis [5,6].

The apple guava (Psidium guajava) or common guava has nearly a global presence. It is an evergreen shrub or small tree native to Mexico, the Caribbean, and Central and South America. Psidium guajava is a common shade tree or shrub indoor-yard gardens in the tropics. The tree is easily identified by its distinctive thin, smooth, copper-colored bark that flakes off, showing a greenish layer beneath. Lozoya et al. [7] reported that the phytochemical analyses of guava leaf, revealed the presence of more than 20 isolated compounds with quercetin as the main active substance. Spasmyloytic and antidiarrheal effects are reported to be associated with its quercetin-derived, flavonoids and glycosides, which support use of this ancient leaf remedy in treating gastrointestinal disorders [8]. The plant is used in many different shampoo products for its scent. Local preparations made from the leaves and/or bark of Psidium guajava are reported to be useful in treatments of diarrhea, dysentery, sore throats, vomiting, stomach upsets and vertigo. They have also been found to be effective in regulating menstrual periods throughout the tropical Amazon and India [9].

Chewing stick or Miswak in Arabic has been known for century as a tooth cleanser ignored for modern toothbrushes and inter-dental cleaners [10]. They are popular in many parts of Africa and the Middle-East as a means of oral hygiene. In using the stick, the end of the stick is chewed into a fibrous brush which is rubbed against the teeth and gum [11]. Some of the chewing sticks predominantly used in Northern Nigeria are Azadirachta indica (Neem), Psidium guajava (Guava), Citrus sinensis (Orange) and Citrus aurantifolia (Lime) [12].

Dental carries is one of the most common chronic infectious diseases in the world. Bacterial plaque accumulated on dental surfaces and composed of native oral flora is the primary etiologic agent of dental carries [13].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection and Processing

The fresh stems of Psidium guajava (Guava) was collected from Abdullahi Fodiyo Library Usman Danfodiyo University Sokoto (UDUS) and the fresh Vernonia amygdalina (bitter leaf) was purchased at Kasuwan nama, Sokoto. The plants
were authenticated in the Botany unit, Biology Department of UDUS. The fresh stems were cut to small pieces and air-dried at room temperature for three weeks. The dried stems were pounded and sieved to obtain fine powder, which was store in airtight bottle until needed.

2.2 Extraction of Plant Stems

Aqueous extract was obtained by dissolving 40 g of each plant powder in 400 ml of distilled water separately in a conical flask. The mixture was vigorously stirred with a sterile glass rod, it was then allowed to stand for 45 minutes and the mixture was filtered using Whatmann No-1 filter paper. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness on a steam bath at 45°C. The extract was then recovered and weighed. The above procedure was repeated using 400 ml ethanol to obtain ethanolic extract.

2.3 Phytochemical Screening of Plant Stems Extract

The phytochemical analysis was conducted at the Department of Biochemistry, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto in accordance with the standard procedures as described by Harborne [14] and Trease and Evans [15].

2.3.1 Test for alkaloids

To 2 ml of each of the extract, 2 ml of 10% Hydrochloric acid was added and mixed. 1 ml from the above mixture was treated with few drops of Wagner’s reagent and another 1 ml treated with few drops Maye’s reagent. Turbidity or precipitation with both of the two reagents was considered as an indication for the presence of alkaloids.

2.3.2 Test for saponins

Four milliliter (4 ml) of each of the extract was placed in a test tube followed by 4 ml of distilled water. The mixture was shaken vigorously. Froth formation indicate the presence of Saponins.

2.3.3 Test for tannins

Ferric Chloride solution (5%) was added drop by drop to 2 ml of each of the extract and the color produced was noted. Condensed tannins usually give a dark green color, while hydrolysable tannins give blue-black color.

2.3.4 Test for flavonoid

To 3 ml of each of the extract, 1 ml of 10%NaOH sodium hydroxide was added and mixed. Yellow color formation indicates the possible presence of flavonoid compounds.

2.3.5 Test for glycosides

To 5ml of each of the extract in a test tube, 2.5 ml of 50% H₂SO₄ was added and mixed. The mixture was heated in a boiling water for 15 minutes. After cooling, the mixture was neutralized with 10% NaOH. From the above mixture, 5 ml was mixed with 5ml of Fehling’s solution and the resultant mixture was boiled in a water bath. A brick-red precipitate indicate the presence of glycosides.

2.3.6 Test for steroids (Salkowski)

Five milliliters (5 ml) of each of the extract was dissolved in 2 ml of chloroform. Two milliliters (2 ml) of concentrated sulphuric acid was carefully added down the side of the test tube to form two layers. Reddish-brown color at the interface indicates the presence of a steroidal ring.

2.3.7 Test for anthraquinones

To 2.5 ml of each of the plant extract, 10 ml benzene was added and shaken followed by 5 ml of 10% ammonia solution. The mixture was shaken and the presence of a pink, red, or violet color in the lower phase indicates the presence of anthraquinones.

2.4 Isolation of Organisms

Swab sticks were used to collect sample from patient with dental carries from Specialist Hospital Sokoto. The swab sticks were transported to the Microbiology Department Laboratory. They were dipped in test tubes containing nutrient broth and incubated for 24 hours. They were then inoculated on nutrient agar and blood agar before being sub cultured on nutrient agar. Viability test of each isolate was carried out by resuscitating the organism in nutrient agar. The organism was preserved as stock culture for further used.

2.5 Identification of Bacteria by Biochemical Characterization

In order to identify and characterize the isolated bacteria, the colony character and cell morphology have been supplemented with
routine biochemical tests, as described by Oyeleke and Manga [16] and Cheesbrough [17]. The procedures are briefly described below.

2.5.1 Indole test

This was done by growing the organism in 5ml of nutrient broth for 24 hours. After 24 hours of incubation, 3 drops of kovacs indole reagent was added and shaken gently. Development of red color within one minute was taken as positive.

2.5.2 Coagulase test

About 2-3 colonies of test bacteria was emulsified with 0.5 ml of saline in a test tube and 1 ml of human plasma was added and incubated at 35°C for 4 hours. Increase in viscosity or clotting of the plasma after 4 hours of the incubation was checked. Then the incubation continues over night at 35°C.

2.5.3 Catalase test

A drop of 3% hydrogen peroxide was dropped on a glass slide. Using a wire loop, a growth of bacteria from a solid medium placed on the slide. Bubbling was taken as positive.

2.5.4 Triple sugar iron (TSI) test

Using a sterile wire loop, bacterial colony was inoculated into the surface of TSI, which was slanted and stabbed at the butt of the media 2 to 3 times. The cap was closed loosely and incubated at 35°C for 24 hours.

Blackening at the whole butt, yellowish at the butt or yellowish at the slant and the butt was taken as positive for hydrogen sulphide, glucose or sucrose and lactose respectively.

2.5.5 Methyl Red (MR) and Voges-Proskauer (VP) test

The bacterial colony was suspended in the MR/VP medium and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. About 2-3 drops solution of methyl red was added. The presence of red color was taken as positive.

Another colony of the bacteria was suspended in VP/MR medium and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. About 0.2 ml of 40% Potassium hydroxide and 0.6 ml of the alpha-naphthol solution was added. A pink color was taken as positive [16].

2.5.6 Urease test

A bacterial colony was inoculated in a urea agar slanted and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. A bright pink or red color development was taken as positive.

2.5.7 Citrate test

A bacterial colony was inoculated in to a Simmon citrate agar. Then, it was slanted and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. A deep blue color development was taken as positive.

2.6 Sensitivity Test of the Plant Stems Extract

The susceptibility test of each bacterial isolate to the plant extract was assessed as described by Aliyu et al. [18]. Each bacterial isolate from slant was cultured on nutrient agar at 37°C for 18 hours. It was suspended in saline solution (0.85% NaCl) and adjust to match a turbidity of 0.5 McFarland standard. Fifteen milliliter (15 ml) of sterile Mueller Hinton agar was poured into each sterile Petri dish of equal sizes and allowed to solidify. An aliquot (0.1 ml) of each of the standardized bacterial cell suspension was transferred onto the surface of the dried agar plate and spread evenly using a sterile swab stick. Four wells on each Petri dish was created using a sterilized cork borer (5 mm in diameter). Small amount of plain agar was poured into the designated well to seal the bottom of the well. Zero point two milliliter (0.2 ml) of different concentration of the plant extract (500 mg/ml, 375 mg/ml, 250 mg/ml and 125 mg/ml prepared using distilled water) was poured into the designated wells. The plates were allowed to stand for 15 minutes before incubating at 37°C for 24 hours. At the end of the incubation period, the diameter of the zone of inhibition was measured in millimeter (mm) using a meter rule.

2.7 Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was determined as the least concentration that showed an inhibitory effect on test organisms using the broth-macro dilution method. A total of 24 test tubes per extract and 12 per isolate were used. One milliliter of Mueller Hinton broth was dispensed into tubes 2-12 each for each of the extracts for an isolate. A stock solution of the extracts was prepared by dissolving 10 g of the
extract in 50 ml of distilled water in a conical flask
giving a final concentration of 200 mg/ml. Each of
the stock solutions (1ml) was dispensed into tube
1 and tube 2. Serial dilutions were carried out
using 1 ml transfer through to the 10th tube. One
milliliter was pipetted out of the 10th tube and
discarded. 1:100 dilution of the broth culture (of
the test organism) was prepared and 1 ml each
was dispensed into tubes 1-12 with the exception
of tube 11. A sterile Mueller Hinton broth (1 ml)
was added into tube 11. The tubes were
incubated at 37°C for 24 hours before being
examined for growth [19].

2.8 Determination of Minimum Bactericidal
Concentration (MBC)

A loopfuls from all tubes that showed no visible
signs of growth/turbidity (MIC and higher
dilutions), were inoculated onto sterile Mueller
Hinton agar (Accumix – Verna, India) plates by
streak plate method. The plates were then
incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The least
concentration that did not show any growth of
tested organisms was considered as the MBC
[19].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The medicinal value of plants lies in some
chemical substances that have a definite
physiological action on the human body. Different
phytochemicals have been found to possess a
wide range of Pharmacological and biochemical
activities, which may help in protection against
chronic diseases. For example, alkaloids protect
against chronic diseases. Saponins protect
against hypercholesterolemia and antibiotic
properties. Steroids and triterpenoids show the
analgesc properties. The steroids and saponins
were responsible for central nervous system
activities [20,21].

The phytochemical screening of Vernonia
amygdalina (bitter leaf) and Psidium guajava
(guava) show the presence of Alkaloids, steroid,
Flavonoids and carbohydrates while Saponins
and tannins were absent in all the study plants.
Glycosides and Anthraquinone was found in
bitter leaf only (Table 1). The result of the study
contradict the finding of Chollom et al. [22] who
found the presence of Glycosides, Tannins and
Saponins from Aqueous leaf extract of Psidium
guajava. Likewise, [23] show the presence of
Tannins and Saponins from Aqueous extract of
Vernonia amygdalina.

Table 1. Preliminary phytochemical analysis
of bitter leave and guava

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytochemical</th>
<th>Bitter leaf</th>
<th>Guava</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosides</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinone</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Somewhere else in the literature, it was
demonstrated that local chewing sticks
performed better than the conventional kinds of
toothpaste in preventing tooth decay. Some
African chewing sticks have also been reported
to contain fluoride ions, silicon, tannic acid,
sodium bicarbonate and other natural plaque-
inhibiting substances that can reduce bacterial
colonization and plaque formation [24].

The bacteria isolated from patient with dental
caries were Proteus mirabilis, Klebsiella
pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and
Staphylococcus aureus, these organisms are
normal flora of the oral cavity, which are
opportunistic and are found to play important role
in tooth plaque, gingivitis and dental carries [25].

The antibacterial activities tests of the aqueous
(water) and ethanolic extracts of bitter leaf and
guava were assayed in vitro by agar well
diffusion method against the four (4) isolated
bacterial species. The result showed that, the
ethanolic extract of bitter leaf was effective
against Klebsiella pneumonia, Staphylococcus
aureus and Proteus mirabilis at tested
concentrations while the aqueous extract shows
activity against S. aureus only (Table 2). This
could be due to the inability of the aqueous
equal to fully extract the bioactive
ingredients. Moreover, the ethanolic extract of
bitter leaf was ineffective on Pseudomonas
aeruginosa probably because it is resistance to
the extracts, this correspond with the finding of
[26].

At concentrations of 30 mg/ml, 60 mg/ml and 90
mg/ml (1 mm, 2 mm and 3 mm), only the
ethanolic extract of the guava was effective
against Klebsiella pneumonia. This could be due
to the ability of the ethanol to fully extract most of
the bioactive ingredients from the study plant.
The extracts were effective against S. aureus at
Table 2. Antibacterial activity of the aqueous and ethanol extract of the stem of *Vernonia amygdalina* and *Psidium guajava* against test isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Bitter leaf</th>
<th>Guava</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aqueous concentration</td>
<td>Ethanol concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/ml)</td>
<td>(mg/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 60 90</td>
<td>30 60 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella pneumoniae</td>
<td>NA NA NA 1mm 1.5mm 2mm</td>
<td>NA NA NA 1mm 2mm 3mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>2mm 3mm 6mm 3mm 5mm 9mm</td>
<td>1.3mm 1.7mm 2mm 4mm 3mm 10mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus mirabilis</td>
<td>NA NA NA 2mm 3mm 4mm</td>
<td>NA NA NA 2mm 3mm 4mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>NA NA NA NA NA NA</td>
<td>NA NA NA NA NA NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: mm = Millimeter, NA = No Activity, mg = Milligram, ml = Milliliter

Table 3. MIC and MBC for *Vernonia amygdalina* (Bitter leaf) and *Psidium guajava* (Guava)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Plant extract</th>
<th>Extract concentration (mg/ml) for MIC</th>
<th>MBC (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200 100 50 25 12.5 6.25 3.125 1.56 0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Klebsiella pneumonia</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>- - - - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>- - - - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>- - - - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proteus mirabilis</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>- - - - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Klebsiella pneumonia</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>- - - - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
<td>- - - - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>- - - - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proteus mirabilis</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>- - - - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: (-) = absence of growth; (+) = presence of growth, mg = milligram, ml = milliliter

MIC = Minimum Inhibitory Concentration, MBC = Minimum Bactericidal Concentration
concentration of 30 mg/ml, 60 mg/ml and 90 mg/ml (3 mm, 5 mm and 7 mm) for aqueous and (4 mm, 6 mm and 10 mm) for ethanolic extract. However, only the ethanolic extract of the guava was effective against *Proteus mirabilis* at concentration of 30 mg/ml, 60 mg/ml and 90 mg/ml (2 mm, 3 mm and 4 mm). This may be due to the inability of the aqueous extract to fully extract all bioactive ingredients. It also remain ineffective on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* due to its high resistance to the extracts. Khushbu and Satyam [27], also reported similar finding.

The antibacterial activity was tested by minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bacterial concentration (MBC) against the isolate (Table 3).

The result of antibacterial assay from this study confirm that the ethanolic extract of Bitter leaf had antibacterial potential against all the isolates. This is shown by the complete inhibition of the isolate at 50mg/ml MIC and 100mg/ml MBC (Table 3). At these concentrations, there was no observable growth in any of the isolate. However, the aqueous extract of the plant shows antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* only at 100mg/ml MIC and 200mg/ml MBC (Table 3).

This is probably because not all the bioactive ingredients were fully extracted by the aqueous solution.

This result support the finding of [11], who show that Bitter leaf extract has antibacterial potential against wide spectrum of bacteria significant to periodontal disease. This is not surprising considering the phytochemical composition found in the plant extract (Table 1).

Ethanolic extract of Guava has also demonstrated antibacterial potential against the isolate (except for *Klebsiella pneumonia*) at 50mg/ml MIC and 100 mg/ml MBC (Table 3). The inactivity of the extract against *Klebsiella pneumonia* may be due to its insusceptibility to the extract. However, unlike Bitter leaf, aqueous extract of Guava was active against *Staphylococcus aureus* at 50 mg/ml MIC and 100mg/ml MBC (Table 3). Several studies indicated remarkable antibacterial activity of Guava against common diarrhea – causing bacteria such as *Staphylococcus, Shigella, salmonella, Bacillus, E. coli, Clostridium* and *Pseudomonas* [22]. The antimicrobial activity of Guava is attributable to Guajaverine and Psydiolic acid [28].

4. CONCLUSION

This study was conducted to determine the phytochemical constituents and antibacterial activity of the extracts of the stems of bitter leaf and Guava against bacteria associated with dental caries. The results of the study imply that, the plants extracts tested are potential sources of bioactive compounds that can be used in the treatment of dental caries and possibly other oral infections. These results also justify ethnomedical uses of the plants. Further studies are required on Isolation, purification and characterization of the different bioactive components of the plants.
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