Compatibility between Chemical Insecticides and *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum*
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ABSTRACT

The entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum* has been studied in different countries as mycoinsecticide against grasshoppers. One of the utilization strategies considered is the association between entomopathogenic fungi and sublethal dosages of chemical insecticides compatible with the biological control agent. The effect of different chemical insecticides at concentrations varying from 5 to 5000 ppm on the conidial germination and growth of the fungus *M. anisopliae* var. *acridum* (CG 423) was assessed for compatibility evaluation. The best...
results with inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) on germination (liquid medium) and radial colonial growth (solid medium) of Metarhizium anisopliae var. acridum at 28°C was obtained with Teflubenzuron.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Grasshoppers have been one of the major agricultural pests, causing significant economic losses worldwide [1,2]. The banning of persistent and cumulative chemical insecticides such as Dieldrin has dificulted the control of these pests [3]. An alternative method that has been pursued is the biological control, in which entomopathogenic fungi play a significant role. The entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) Metarhizium anisopliae var. acridum (former M. flavoviride Gams & Rozyspal [4]) is being developed as mycocide in different countries for grasshopper control [5,6]. Indeed, laboratory and field trials carried out in Brazil have led to successful results against the acridid Rhammatocerus schistocercoides [7,8,9], on what population reductions over 80% have been recorded in those trials, although satisfactory results are dependent on high conidial dosages and, besides, onset of mortality takes at least 10 days.

In many instances, a feasible strategy for pest control is the combination of fungal formulations with low concentrations of chemical insecticides [10,11]. Insecticide concentrations that not lead to mortality can influence mating, host-finding, feeding and other insect behaviors [12]. Studies have shown that insecticide-treated cuticles exhibited diminished resistance to invasion by entomopathogenic fungi [13]. As already noticed a few decades ago, subletal doses of insecticides may act as potent stressors, increasing insect susceptibility to pathogens [14,10].

Previous reports had demonstrated the potential synergism between entomopathogenic fungi and chemicals towards grasshopper mortality [15,16,17]. Observation of synergistic insecticide–pathogen interactions may contribute to programs, allowing use of economic feasible dosages of the fungus and reducing onset of mortality. Other advantages would include reduced environmental contamination, prolonged usage of a particular insecticide and increase human safety [18].

The first step in order to conduct compatibility trials comprises to investigate the toxic potential of insecticides on EPF. The objective of this study was to estimate the toxicity of insecticides commonly used for grasshopper control [16], on germination and mycelial growth of the EPF M. anisopliae var. acridum.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Microorganism

The EPF M. anisopliae var. acridum (CG 423) used for the experiments was obtained from the Fungal Collection kept at the Embrapa Genetic Resources and Biotechnology (Brasília, DF, Brazil), and originally isolated from the acridid Schistocerca pallens Thunberg in northeast Brazil. This fungus was cultured on potato dextrose agar at 28°C during 2 weeks. Formed conidia were collected from plates and used in the preparation of conidial suspensions with known concentrations.

2.2 Insecticides

The insecticides tested were fenitrothion (Sumithion 500 CE), malathion (Malathion 500 CE), teflubenzuron (Nomolt 150), chlorpyriphos (Lorsban 480), deltamethrin (Decis 25 CE) and lambdacyhalothrin (Karate 50 CE) (Table 1).

2.3 Assays

Insecticide toxicity was assessed based on conidial germination and mycelial growth. To verify the influence on germination, the fungus was cultivated on liquid culture medium [1% yeast extract; 0.1% antibiotic (Combiotic<sup>TM</sup>); 98.9% solution of Tween 80 at 0.05%] containing different insecticide concentrations [5, 50, 500 or 5000 ppm of the a.i.]. Cultures with 10<sup>6</sup> conidia/ml were kept under orbital shaker at 150 rpm and 28°C. Samples were taken 12, 18 and 24 h following incubation and assessed under light microscope (100x) for percent germinated conidia. Lambdaacyhalothrin was not used in this test because the formulated product contains oil-based components, that kept conidia aggregated inside bubbles when in liquid medium and, therefore, it did not allow a precise estimate of conidial germination.
To test the influence of the insecticides on mycelial growth, 0.5 µl of a conidial suspension (10⁵ conidia / ml) was peaked to Petri dish center, which contained solid culture medium (0.001% FeSO₄; 0.05% KCl; 0.15% KH₂PO₄; 0.05% MgSO₄·7H₂O; 0.6% NaNO₃; 0.001% ZnSO₄; 0.15% hydrolyzed casein; 0.05% yeast extract; 1% glucose; 0.2% peptone; 1.5% agar and 97.7% distilled water), containing different insecticide concentrations (5, 50, 500 or 5000 ppm of the a.i.). Plates were kept at 28°C and 12 h light. Growth was calculated by daily measurements of grown colony. For both experiments, the fungus was seeded on medium without insecticide as a control. All experiments were carried out using a completely random design with three replicates. Averages were compared.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All of tested insecticides showed some inhibitory effect on fungal development. Inhibition of growth varied according to insecticide, concentration and incubation period. Germination was less compromised by teflubenzuron, followed by chlorpyriphos and then malathion, deltamethrin and fenitrothion. As insecticide concentration increased, the inhibition of the germination also increased. However, the deleterious insecticide effects were minimized after long incubation periods, suggesting that, depending on concentration, some insecticides caused a delay in germination but did not inactivated the conidia. For concentrations 5 and 50 ppm, there was germination in all treatments, although germination was faster in the control. At 500 ppm, germination did not occur only in the deltamethrin treatment, whereas at 5000 ppm germination was observed only in teflubenzuron treated plates (Fig. 1).

The insecticides showing less inhibitory effects on EPF mycelial growth when used at 5 ppm. At higher concentrations, colonies showed a slower growth, except for lambdacyhalothrin and deltamethrin at 5000 ppm, in which there was no detectable growth even after 16 days incubation. The least inhibition level observed was by teflubenzuron, followed by malathion and lambdacyhalothrin, and finally, chlorpyriphos, deltamethrin and fenitrothion with similar inhibition levels (Fig. 2).

In treatments with malathion (5000 ppm), chlorpyriphos (50 to 5000 ppm) and fenitrothion (500 to 5000 ppm), sporulated colonies presented lighter color than colonies grown on insecticide-free medium. Similar observations were reported by [2] when studying the effects of different fungicides and herbicides on *M. anisopliae*, *Beauveria bassiana*, *Paecilomyces farinosus* and *P. fumosoroseus*. It seems that changes in colony color may be related to deleterious effect of a particular concentration on physiological traits of the fungal pathogen. In fact, this observation was usually correlated to treatments in which conidial germination or radial growth was impacted.

### Table 1. Chemical insecticides assayed with *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Producer</th>
<th>Chemical group</th>
<th>Toxicity to Mammalian a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>Sumithion500 CE</td>
<td>Iharabras S/A</td>
<td>organophosphate</td>
<td>Moderately toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>Malathion500 CE</td>
<td>Action S/A</td>
<td>organophosphate</td>
<td>Unlikely to harm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyriphos</td>
<td>Lorsban480</td>
<td>Dowelanco Industrial LTD</td>
<td>organophosphate</td>
<td>Moderately toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflubenzuron</td>
<td>Nomolt 150</td>
<td>Cyanamid LTDA</td>
<td>growth regulator</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambdacyhalothrin</td>
<td>Karate 50 CE</td>
<td>ZenecaBrasil S/A</td>
<td>synthetic pyrethroid</td>
<td>Low toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>Decis25 CE</td>
<td>Hoescht Shering Agrevo do Brasil</td>
<td>synthetic pyrethroid</td>
<td>Low toxic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aToxicologic class accord to Ministry of Agriculture, Brazil, AGROFIT (http://agrofit.agricultura.gov.br/agrofit_cons/principal_agrofit_cons).
Fig. 1. Effect of different concentrations of chemical insecticides on germination of *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum* (CG 423) in liquid medium

Table 2. Inhibitory Concentration (IC$_{50}$) of different chemical insecticides on germination (liquid medium) and radial colonial growth (solid medium) of *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum* (CG 423) at 28°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Inhibitory concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Germination (24 h)</th>
<th>Colonial growth (16 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>275.0 (±0) a</td>
<td>28.4 (±0) a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>410.5 (±71.51) a</td>
<td>313.3 (±33.51) b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>270.3 (±70.72) a</td>
<td>26.8 (±1.90) a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyriphos</td>
<td>1832.7 (±402.77) b</td>
<td>32.5 (±1.33) a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflubenzuron</td>
<td>3622.8 (±207.43) c</td>
<td>4335.6 (±83.18) c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambdacyhalothrin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>171.4 (±5.72) b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average for three replicates. Values in a given column with the same letter do not significantly differ using the Student-Newman-Keuls method (α=0.05).
A few colonies developed in solid medium amended with fenitrothion (50 ppm) showed areas with remarkable growth, suggesting some degree of insecticide tolerance. “Tolerant” colonies were re-isolated and preserved for future genetic and biochemical analyses.

Colony growth was more affected than germination as revealed by its lower IC₅₀ values, except for teflubenzuron (Table 2). A likely explanation is that in the colony growth bioassay, EPF was insecticide exposed longer than germination assay. It is possible that conidia able to germinate in the presence of the insecticide may be unable to develop and produce mycelium under the same condition.

On the other hand, under field conditions the effect of insecticides on fungal germination seems to be more significant than its effect on fungal growth [19,20,21]. Just after germination and penetration on the host cuticle, the influence of the insecticide on fungal pathogenesis would be minimized. In addition, a variety of degradation factors would act on insecticide persistence in the field, reducing its half-life.

Among the tested insecticides, germination and colonial growth of *M. anisopliae var. acridum* were least affected by teflubenzuron. Although the level of germination inhibition by chlorpyriphos was not as high, colony growth was severely reduced. Malathion inhibited
moderately both germination and colonial growth. Fenitrothion and deltamethrin were the most severe inhibitors.

4. CONCLUSION

The insecticides showing less inhibitory effects on EPF mycelial growth when used at 5 ppm and the best results with inhibitory concentration (IC$_{50}$) on germination (liquid medium) and radial colonial growth (solid medium) of *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. *acridum* (CG 423) at 28°C was obtained with Teflubenzuron.
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